UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,197	01/31/2001	Leland James Wiesehuegel	AUS920000945US1	4475
45993 IBM CORPOR	7590 06/23/200 ATION (RHF)	EXAMINER		
C/O ROBERT I	H. FRANTZ	MISIASZEK, MICHAEL		
P. O. BOX 2332 OKLAHOMA (24 CITY, OK 73123		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/773,197	WIESEHUEGEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Misiaszek	3625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 ∠</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 11-15 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 11-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendments filed 4/6/2009 have been received and reviewed. The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 1-4, 6-9, and 11-15 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/6/2009 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant has made an apparent typographical error in the preamble of claim 1. As a result of the most recent amendment, claim 1's preamble reads: "A computer-implemented comprising:" Appropriate correction is required.

For purpose of examination, the Examiner will consider claim 1's preamble to read: "A computer-implemented method comprising:"

Application/Control Number: 09/773,197 Page 3

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-4, 6-9, and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perkowski (US 20030009392 A1) in view of Howell et al. (US 20070055615 A1, hereinafter Howell).

Regarding Claims 1

Perkowski discloses a computer-implemented method comprising:

- providing a first database associated with a Sales Preparation System, said first database containing a plurality of descriptive information items about each of a plurality of products indexed to part numbers and to manufacturer identifiers;
- providing a second database separate from said second database and from said
 Sales Preparation System, said second database containing a plurality of
 descriptive information items about a plurality of products indexed to part
 numbers and to manufacturer identifiers;
- establishing a plurality of dynamically updated links between said descriptive data items of said first database and said second database, and between said part numbers and said manufacturer identifiers, said dynamically updated links being automatically established by executing a synchronization script or program,

Application/Control Number: 09/773,197 Page 4

Art Unit: 3625

said execution being triggered at a predetermined time or responsive to a predetermined event;

- promoting said synchronized Sales Preparation System contents to an online auction system responsive to authorization of said trader;
- presenting via a user interface to an Interactive Offer System said synchronized
 Sales Preparation System contents to one or more online bidders via said online auction system.

Perkowski does not explicitly disclose:

 each time a request is received from a trader, automatically dynamically updating said links to most current descriptive information items, replacing links to older descriptive information items with links to most current descriptive information items, and adding links to most current descriptive information items which were not previously available; Howell teaches that it is known to include automatically updating the contents of a database in response to a request of a trader (at least paragraph 20: database updated as result of trader requesting stock quote) in a similar environment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the invention, as taught by Perkowski, with the updating, as taught by Howell, since such a modification would have only united elements of the prior art references, with no change in their respective functions and which yield predictable results.

Regarding Claims 6, 11

Claims 6 and 11 are substantially similar to claim 1 and are thus rejected on similar grounds.

Regarding Claims 2, 7, 12

Perkowski discloses:

 said predetermined time comprises a time determined according to a periodic basis (at least paragraph 85)

Regarding Claims 3, 8, 13

Perkowski discloses:

said predetermined event comprises an event of a request for said descriptive
 information items in any of the repositories (at least paragraph 85)

Application/Control Number: 09/773,197 Page 6

Art Unit: 3625

Regarding Claims 4, 9, 14

Perkowski discloses:

 providing a list to a user, said list having part numbers and dynamic links to said descriptive information items associated with listed part numbers (at least paragraphs 47, 55, 101)

Regarding Claim 15

Perkowski discloses:

wherein said repository synchronizer creates a list of part numbers associated
 with dynamic links to said data items (at least paragraphs 47, 55, 101)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Misiaszek whose telephone number is (571)272-6961. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey A. Smith/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3625

Michael A. Misiaszek Patent Examiner 6/21/2009